IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

United States of America,) Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-02958-RMC
Plaintiff,))
v.))
State of South Carolina, and Nikki R. Haley, in her official capacity as the Governor of South Carolina,	
Defendants.)))
Lowcountry Immigration Coalition, et al,	Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-02779
Plaintiffs,)))
v. Nikki Haley, et al,))
Defendants.)))

JOINT REPORT REGARDING CASE STATUS AND DISPOSITION

Plaintiffs in both cases and defendants State of South Carolina, Governor Nikki R. Haley and Attorney General Alan Wilson (Defendants) have conferred regarding the proper disposition of this matter in light of decisions by the United States Supreme Court and, in the instant case, the Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and the District Court of the District of South Carolina. In the interest of assisting the Court in the proper and efficient resolution of this case in accordance with those decisions, the parties make the following representations and requests regarding the various statutory provisions at issue:

1. Each reference to a provision of Act 69, 2011 S.C. Acts, herein shall be construed as a reference to any amendments to those provisions as of this date.

- 2. The parties acknowledge that the Fourth Circuit panel's decision in *United States* v. *South Carolina*, 720 F.3d 518 (4th Cir. 2013), holds that Sections 4, 5, and 6(B)(2) of Act 69 (S.C. Code §§ 16-9-460, 16-17-750, 17-13-170(B)(2)), are preempted by federal law. Further, the parties agree that, consistent with this Court's previous determination of the Plaintiff's likelihood of success in challenging Section 15, *United States v. South Carolina*, 720 F.3d 518 (4th Cir. 2013), and together with the Supreme Court's decision in *Arizona v. United States*, 132 S. Ct. 2492 (2012), this Court would conclude that Section 15 of Act 69 (S.C. Code § 16-13-480) is preempted by federal law. The parties also agree that the Plaintiffs can satisfy, for this Court, the other requirements for obtaining final injunctions against these provisions.
- 3. The Defendants submit that in light of the Supreme Court's decision in *Arizona v*. *United States*, 132 S. Ct. 2492 (2012), and the ruling of this Court on November 15, 2012, 906 F. Supp. 2d 463 (D.S.C., 2012), the remainder of Section 6 of Act 69 (S.C. Code § 17-13-170), is subject to an interpretation that does not authorize an officer to prolong an original stop based upon the officer's inquiry into or based on a determination, suspicion, or admission concerning a person's immigration status. The view of Defendants is expressed in the Opinion of the Office of the Attorney General of March 3, 2014 (*see* Attachment 1). In light of this interpretation, Plaintiffs will dismiss their remaining claims as to the remainder of Section 6 without prejudice.
- 4. Although this Court found that the Lowcountry Plaintiffs lacked standing to challenge Section 7 of Act 69 (§ 23-3-1100) at the preliminary injunction stage, Plaintiffs believe that they would be able to show standing to seek permanent injunctive relief. The Defendants are willing for this Court to address this issue because of the similarity of issues concerning both Sections 6 and 7 and the opportunity to resolve all issues at the same time. Defendants submit that in light of the Supreme Court's *Arizona* decision and the ruling of this Court on November 15, 2012, Section 7 is subject to an interpretation that does not authorize

prolonging the detention of a person in jail or prison simply to determine the person's immigration status, and it does not authorize transferring an individual on the basis that he or she is believed or determined to be unlawfully present to federal custody. The view of Defendants is expressed in the Opinion of the Office of the Attorney General of March 3, 2014 (*see* Attachment 1). In light of this interpretation, the Lowcountry Plaintiffs will dismiss their remaining claims against Section 7 without prejudice.

- 5. The Defendants respectfully disagree with the rulings of this Court and the Court of Appeals enjoining the above referenced provisions and defer to those rulings rather than consent to the injunctions. They have vigorously defended the challenged parts of Act 69, and join in this Report only in recognition that the Courts have ruled regarding these sections of the Act and that further litigation of this matter would be inconsistent with those rulings and would be contrary to judicial economy. Should governing statutory or decisional law or other circumstances change in the future, they reserve their right, and that of any other appropriate State official, to seek modification of the injunctions in the Final Judgment via a post-judgment motion under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or through any other means permitted by law.
- 6. Plaintiffs will voluntarily dismiss all claims not specifically referenced above without prejudice. Defendants consent to the voluntary dismissal of these claims.
- 7. Accordingly, all parties consent to the form of Final Judgment submitted as Attachment 2 hereto.

[Signature blocks on next pages]

Respectfully submitted,

ALAN WILSON Attorney General Federal ID No.10457

ROBERT D. COOK Solicitor General Federal ID No. 285 Email: BCOOK@SCAG.GOV

/s/ J. Emory Smith, Jr.
J. EMORY SMITH, JR.
Deputy Solicitor General
Federal ID No. 3908
Email: ESMITH@SCAG.GOV
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
Phone: (803) 734-3680
Fax: (803) 734-3677

Counsel for Defendants
Governor and Attorney General

s/ Susan K. Dunn_

Susan K. Dunn (Federal Bar No. 647) American Civil Liberties Union of South Carolina P. O. Box 20998 Charleston, South Carolina 29413-0998 T: (843) 720-1425 sdunn@aclusouthcarolina.org

On behalf of Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Susan K. Dunn (Federal Bar No. 647) American Civil Liberties Union of South Carolina P. O. Box 20998 Charleston, South Carolina 29413-0998 T: (843) 720-1425 sdunn@aclusouthcarolina.org

Steven Suggs (Federal Bar No. 7525)⁺
SOUTH CAROLINA APPLESEED
LEGAL JUSTICE CENTER
P.O. Box 7187
Columbia, South Carolina 29202
T: (803) 779-1113
ssuggs@scjustice.org

Andre Segura (Appearing pro hac vice)
Omar Jadwat (Appearing pro hac vice)
Courtney Bowie (Appearing pro hac vice)
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, New York 10004
T: (212) 549-2660
asegura@aclu.org
ojadwat@aclu.org
cbowie@aclu.org

Michelle R. Lapointe
(Appearing pro hac vice)
Naomi Tsu (Appearing pro hac vice)
Daniel Werner (Appearing pro hac vice)
SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER
233 Peachtree St., NE, Suite 2150
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
T: (404) 521-6700
michelle.lapointe@splcenter.org
naomi.tsu@splcenter.org
daniel.werner@splcenter.org

Reginald Lloyd (Federal Bar No. 6052) LLOYD LAW FIRM One Law Place, 223 East Main Street Suite 500 Rock Hill, South Carolina 29730 T: (803) 909-8707 reggie@lloydlawfirm.net

Alice Paylor (Federal Bar No. 3017) ROSEN, ROSEN & HAGOOD 134 Meeting Street, Suite 200 Charleston, South Carolina 29401 T: (843) 628-7556 apaylor@rrhlawfirm.com

Linton Joaquin (Appearing *pro hac vice*) Karen C. Tumlin (Appearing *pro hac vice*) Nora A. Preciado (Appearing *pro hac vice*) Melissa S. Keaney (Appearing pro hac vice) Alvaro Huerta (Appearing *pro hac vice*) Nicolas Espiritu (Appearing *pro hac vice*) NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW **CENTER** 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2850 Los Angeles, California 90010 T: (213) 639-3900 joaquin@nilc.org tumlin@nilc.org preciado@nilc.org keaney@nilc.org huerta@nilc.org espíritu@nilc.org

Victor Viramontes (Appearing pro hac vice)
MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL
DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND
634 S. Spring Street, 11th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90014
T: (213) 629-2512 x 133
vviramontes@maldef.org

Katherine Desormeau
(Appearing pro hac vice)
Cecillia D. Wang
(Appearing pro hac vice)
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION IMMIGRANTS'
RIGHTS PROJECT
39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, California 94111
T: (415) 343-0775
kdesormeau@aclu.org
cwang@aclu.org

Amy Pedersen (Appearing pro hac vice)
MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL
DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND
1016 16th Street NW, Suite 100
Washington, DC 20036
T: (202) 293-2828 x 12
apedersen@maldef.org

Samuel Brooke (Appearing pro hac vice) SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER 400 Washington Ave. Montgomery, Alabama 36104 T: (334) 956-8200 samuel.brooke@splcenter.org

Foster S. Maer (Appearing pro hac vice) Ghita Schwarz (Appearing pro hac vice) LATINOJUSTICE PRLDEF 99 Hudson St., 14th Floor New York, New York 10013 T: (212) 219-3360 fmaer@latinojustice.org gschwarz@latinojustice.org

Justin B. Cox (Appearing *pro hac vice*) ACLU Immigrants' Rights Project 230 Peachtree Street, NW, Suite 1440 Atlanta, GA 30303-2721 T: (404) 523-2721, ext. 215 jcox@aclu.org

STUART F. DELERY Assistant Attorney General

WILLIAM N. NETTLES (I.D. #6586) United States Attorney

s/ Barbara M. Bowens

BARBARA M. BOWENS (I.D. #4004) Civil Chief Wells Fargo Building 1441 Main Street, Suite 500 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Telephone: (803) 929-3052 Facsimile: (803) 254-2912

E-mail: barbara.bowens@usdoj.gov

⁺ Attorney only for Plaintiffs Lowcountry Immigration Coalition, SCVAN, Mujeres de Triunfo, and Nuevos Caminos

ARTHUR R. GOLDBERG Assistant Director

W. SCOTT SIMPSON Senior Trial Counsel

Attorneys, Department of Justice Civil Division, Room 7210 Post Office Box 883 Washington, D.C. 20044

Telephone: (202) 514-3495 Facsimile: (202) 616-8470

E-mail: scott.simpson@usdoj.gov

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:11-cv-02958-RMG

March 3, 2014